REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, 5 and 14-15 have been amended herein. Support for the amendments is

detailed below.

Applicants' Response to the Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed

to non-statutory subject matter. In response to the rejection, applicants have amended claims 14

and 15 to recite a method of processing fluid. Support for this amendment is found at page 24,

line 14 to page 26, line 25. Wherefore, applicants respectfully submit that the claims are no

longer directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicants' Response to the Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. Specifically, the Office has rejected claim 1 for including a portion of a limitation

in parentheses on the basis that this makes the claim unclear. In response thereto, applicants

have amended claim 1 by removing the parentheses and setting forth the phrase therein as a

positive requirement.

Applicants' Response to the Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Mortus

3747656. First, in response to the rejection applicants have amended claim 1 to incorporate the

limitations of claim 3. In regard to claim 3, applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Specifically, applicants respectfully submit that there is no teaching or suggestion in Mortus

which would lead the skilled artisan to provide an arrangement as set forth in the limitations of

claim 3.

Mortus is a patent directed to a locknut design. The Office maintains that an

arrangement of locknuts, such as for sale in a box, would meet the limitations of the claim. In

rejection of claim 3, the Office maintains that "absent any unexpected result, the arrangement of

the locknut in a box to form a particular shape so as to provide a more effective and space

efficient positioning is deemed obvious." See page 5, lines 4-6 of the Office Action. However,

there is no teaching or suggestion set forth in the prior art that the arrangement would be

preferred for any purpose.

However, the current application is clearly directed to an unexpected result; namely, the

claimed arrangement functioning as a field converter. The unexpected results of the field

converter are set forth in the specification in the embodiments and examples; specifically, page

15, line 14 to page 29, line 11.

Further, applicants submit the attached Declarations pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.132 in

support of the results. In the first declaration, Mr. Masaharu Takao, Ph.D submits the technical

paper entitled "Property of Water Processed by vG7 and Concentrations of Elements Contained

in Processed Water" which he authored. The fluid processing device "vG7" described in the

technical paper is the same device of the fluid processing device that is set forth in the

description under the subtitle of example 4 of the embodiment and Figs. 6-7.

Attorney Docket No. 042449

In the paper, Professor Takao maintains that the experiments of processing water using

the vG7 device resulted in the oxidation-reduction potential of the water decreasing slightly after

the processing; that the dissolved oxygen increased slightly after the processing; and, that the

concentrations of the major elements contained in water decreased by about half.

Professor Takao further states that the generation of hydrogen gas observed by other

experiments, can be explained by the atomic conversion caused by the generation of neutrinos

with the theory of quantized water and with the theory of neutrino wave resonance with shape.

Furthermore, he maintains that the production of negative and positive atomic radicals and right

and anti-electrons, generation of a minute amount of radiations good for health, and production

of new active water molecules equivalent to the decreased concentrations of elements can be

explained in the same way, i.e., by the atomic conversion caused by the generation of neutrinos

with the theory of quantized water and with the theory of neutrino wave resonance with shape.

Hence, professor Takao maintains that the space inside the vG7 and the surrounding area

of vG7 remarkably improve quality of fluid such as water and air circulated in it and activates

them, resulting in the development of functions of the fluid such as sterilization, deodorization,

and resuscitation of living bodies.

Applicants further submit the declaration of applicant, Syuushi Nomura, which refers to

his paper entitled "Further Examination of sterilization power of the water that passed through

the fluid processing device." The further examination is similar to the application example 5 in

the description. However, the subject of the comparison is more definite than that of example 5.

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Application No. 10/500,042

Attorney Docket No. 042449

That is, the processed water and non-processed water were prepared. The fungus liquid was

inoculated into the processed water and the non-processed water. Thereafter, the viable cell in the

processed water and the non-processed water were counted after the specific time. The

difference number of viable cells between those in the processed water and the non-processed

water directly attributes to the existence of unexpected results from the water processed by the

fluid processing device. In the results of the further examination, the processed water showed

sterilization ability against the 4 species of bacteria.

Mr. Nomura's declaration further refers to his paper entitled "Measurement of dissolved

hydrogen in the water that passed through the fluid processing device." As set forth therein, Mr.

Nomura concludes that in the processed water the dissolved hydrogen was detected, while in the

intact distilled water, the dissolved hydrogen was not detected. In the processed water, the

amount of the dissolved hydrogen becomes higher with the passage of time elapsed from 0 to 48

hours. The existence of dissolved hydrogen and the variation of the concentration of dissolved

hydrogen in the processed water are consistent with the results in Mr. Takao's technical paper.

In light of the above, applicants respectfully submit that there is no teaching or suggestion

in the prior art that the arrangement set forth in the limitation of claim 3, now amended claim 1,

provides "a more effective and space efficient arrangement." Further, there is no recognition in

the prior art of the results applicants have obtained in the examples of the specification and as set

forth in the attached Declarations under 37 C.F.R.§1.132. As such, applicants respectfully

submit that the arrangement such that the material pieces form a concentric circle, and drawing

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Application No. 10/500,042

Attorney Docket No. 042449

line linked projected points, such that the central axes of the outermost circumferentially located

plural material pieces of the concentric circle are projected on a plane perpendicular to the central

axis of the material piece, to form an equilateral hexagon are not obvious.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants

submit that that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants

request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Michael J. Caridi

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 56,171

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

MJC/af

Enclosures:

Declaration under 37 C.F.R. §1.132 of Masaharu Takao (w/ attachments)

Declaration under 37 C.F.R. §1.132 of Syuushi Nomura (w/ attachments)